

THE

ANYIL

THE ANYIL is a non-profit making e-magazine dedicated to defending European civilisation from Marxism and Capitalism.

NEITHER LEFT NOR RIGHT - BUT THE THIRD POSITION <http://www.PoliticalSoldier.net/>

epaper #4



This album cover was posted to the internet on July 19, 2001!

It depicts the World Trade Center in the exact state we all saw it in after the attacks. The band, The Coup (a sudden overthrow of a government by a usually small group of persons in positions of authority), displays the Red Communist Star representing Socialism on the cover.

Somebody knew what was coming!

NNA Breaking News
05/29/2001

- *Israel Stages Anti-US Demonstration*
- *4000 Israeli Employees in WTC Absent the Day of the Attack*
- *5 Israelis detained for 'puzzling behavior' after WTC tragedy*
- *Explosives Planted In Towers, N.M. Tech Expert Says*
- *They can't see why they are hated*
- *ACLU, conservative groups oppose anti-terrorist bill*

Israel Stages Anti-US Demonstration

From: <http://www.jerusalem-times.net/news/n1.htm> Drawn from the 14 September issue of the Jerusalem Times. It is on the front page of Vol. VIII, no. 397:

A team sent by the Israel Defense Ministry to film Palestinian children rejoicing in East Jerusalem staged the event that was later circulated in the US and around the world. A member of the team approached the Juhaina Sweets Shop

and gave the owner 200 shekels and asked him to distribute the sweets to the children, according to the owner of the shop.

Veteran Israeli political analyst Meron Benvenisti, a former deputy mayor of Jerusalem, noted in Ha'aretz (Thursday Sept. 13): "A team from the Spokesman's Office of the Israel Defense Forces was sent to film the scenes of joy and candy being handed out in East Jerusalem for public relations purposes" Benvenisti wrote



turn page

4000 Israeli Employees in WTC Absent the Day of the Attack

09/19/2001

Published by: AL-MANAR TV

Web Site: <http://www.manartv.com/>

With the announcement of the attacks at the World Trade Center in New York, the international media, particularly the Israeli one, hurried to take advantage of the incident and started mourning 4000 Israelis who work at the two towers. Then suddenly, no one ever mentioned anything about those Israelis and later it became clear that they remarkably did not show up in their jobs the day the incident took place. No one talked about any Israeli being killed or wounded in the attacks.

Arab diplomatic sources revealed to the Jordanian al-Watan newspaper that those Israelis remained absent that day based on hints from the Israeli General Security Apparatus, the Shabak, the fact which evoked unannounced suspicions on American officials who wanted to know how the Israeli government learned about the incident before it occurred, and the reasons why it refrained from informing the U.S. authorities of the information it had.

Suspicions had increased further after Israeli newspaper Yadiot Ahranot revealed that the Shabak prevented Israeli premier Ariel Sharon from traveling to New York and particularly to the city's eastern coast to participate in a festival organized by the Zionist organizations in support of the "Israel".

Aharon Bernie, the commentator at the newspaper, brought up the issue and came up with a negative conclusion, saying "no answer". He then asked about

the clue behind the Shabak's position in preventing Sharon's participation, and again without giving an answer. Bernie added that Sharon, who was delighted for having his speech on top of the festival agenda, asked the head of the organization to mediate and convince the Shabak to change its position, but his attempts were in vein. One day after Sharon's secretary officially announced that Sharon would not participate, the incident took place.

For its part, the Israeli Ha'aretz newspaper revealed that the FBI arrested five Israelis four hours after the attack on the Twin Towers while filming the smoking skyline from the roof of their company's building.

The FBI had arrested the five for "puzzling behavior". They are said to have been caught videotaping the disaster in what was interpreted as cries of joy and mockery.

--

5 Israelis detained for "puzzling behavior" after WTC tragedy

[h t t p : / / www.haaretzdaily.com/hasen/pages/ShArt.jhtml?itemNo=3D75266](http://www.haaretzdaily.com/hasen/pages/ShArt.jhtml?itemNo=3D75266)

Wednesday, September 19, 2001 Tishrei 2, 5762 Israel Time: 06:36 (GMT+3)

By Yossi Melman

Five Israelis who had worked for a moving company based in New Jersey are being held in U.S. prisons for what the Federal Bureau of Investigation has described as "puzzling behavior" following the terror attack on the World Trade Center in New York last Tuesday. The five are expected to be deported sometime soon.

The families of the five, who asked that their names not be released, said

that their sons had been questioned by the FBI for hours on end, had been kept in solitary confinement for three days, and had been humiliated, stripped of their clothes and blindfolded.

The mother of one of the young men explained the chain of events as she understands it to Ha'aretz:

She said that the five had worked for the company, which is owned by an Israeli, for between two months and two years. They had been arrested some four hours after the attack on the Twin Towers while filming the smoking skyline from the roof of their company's building, she said. It appears that they were spotted by one of the neighbors who called the police and the FBI...

The mother said that the families and friends of the five in Israel had known nothing of the men's whereabouts for a number of days.

"When they finally let my son make a phone call for the first time to a friend in the United States two days ago, he told him that he had been tortured by the FBI in a basement," the mother said. "He was stripped to his underwear; he was blindfolded and questioned for 14 hours. They thought that because he has citizenship of a European country as well as of Israel that he was working for the Mossad [Israel's secret service]."

Seven FBI agents later stormed the apartment of one of the Israelis, searched it and questioned his roommate. The Israeli owner of the company, who has U.S. citizenship, was also questioned. Both men were subsequently released.

The families here complained that the Israeli consulate in New York and the situation room set up by the Foreign Ministry there to locate missing Israelis had done nothing to help their sons. The Foreign Ministry told the families that the FBI had denied holding the five

and that the consulate had chosen to believe the FBI, the mother said.

The five were transferred out of the FBI's facility on Saturday morning and are now being held in two prisons in New Jersey by the Immigration and Naturalization Services. They are charged with illegally residing in the United States and working there without permits.

The Foreign Ministry said in response that it had been informed by the consulate in New York that the FBI had arrested the five for "puzzling behavior." They are said to have had been caught videotaping the disaster and shouting in what was interpreted as cries of joy and mockery.

--

Explosives Planted In Towers, N.M. Tech Expert Says

[h t t p : / / www.abqjournal.com/aqvan09-11-01.htm](http://www.abqjournal.com/aqvan09-11-01.htm)

September 11, 2001

By Olivier Uyttebrouck

Albuquerque Journal Staff Writer

Televised images of the attacks on the World Trade Center suggest that explosives devices caused the collapse of both towers, a New Mexico Tech explosion expert said Tuesday.

The collapse of the buildings appears "too methodical" to be a chance result of airplanes colliding with the structures, said Van Romero, vice president for research at New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology.

"My opinion is, based on the videotapes, that after the airplanes hit the World Trade Center there were some explosive devices inside the buildings that caused the towers to collapse," Romero said.

Romero is a former director of the Energetic Materials Research and

Testing Center at Tech, which studies explosive materials and the effects of explosions on buildings, aircraft and other structures.

Romero said he based his opinion on video aired on national television broadcasts.

Romero said the collapse of the structures resembled those of controlled implosions used to demolish old structures.

"It would be difficult for something from the plane to trigger an event like that," Romero said in a phone interview from Washington, D.C.

Romero said he and another Tech administrator were on a Washington-area subway when an airplane struck the Pentagon.

He said he and Denny Peterson, vice president for administration and finance, were en route to an office building near the Pentagon to discuss defense-funded research programs at Tech.

If explosions did cause the towers to collapse, the detonations could have been caused by a small amount of explosive, he said.

"It could have been a relatively small amount of explosives placed in strategic points," Romero said. The explosives likely would have been put in more than two points in each of the towers, he said.

The detonation of bombs within the towers is consistent with a common terrorist strategy, Romero said.

"One of the things terrorist events are noted for is a diversionary attack and secondary device," Romero said.

Attackers detonate an initial, diversionary explosion that attracts emergency personnel to the scene, then detonate a second explosion, he said...

Romero said that if his scenario is correct, the diversionary attack would

have been the collision of the planes into the towers.

Tech President Dan Lopez said Tuesday that Tech had not been asked to take part in the investigation into the attacks. Tech often assists in forensic investigations into terrorist attacks, often by setting off similar explosions and studying the effects.

--

They can't see why they are hated

Americans cannot ignore what their government does abroad

h t t p : / / www.guardian.co.uk/comment/story/0,3604,551036,00.html

Special report: Terrorism in the US
Seumas Milne

Thursday September 13, 2001

The Guardian

Nearly two days after the horrific suicide attacks on civilian workers in New York and Washington, it has become painfully clear that most Americans simply don't get it. From the president to passersby on the streets, the message seems to be the same: this is an inexplicable assault on freedom and democracy, which must be answered with overwhelming force - just as soon as someone can construct a credible account of who was actually responsible. Shock, rage and grief there has been aplenty. But any glimmer of recognition of why people might have been driven to carry out such atrocities, sacrificing their own lives in the process - or why the United States is hated with such bitterness, not only in Arab and Muslim countries, but across the developing world - seems almost entirely absent. Perhaps it is too much to hope that, as rescue workers struggle to pull firefighters from the rubble, any but a small minority might make the connection between

what has been visited upon them and what their government has visited upon large parts of the world.

But make that connection they must, if such tragedies are not to be repeated, potentially with even more devastating consequences. US political leaders are doing their people no favours by reinforcing popular ignorance with self-referential rhetoric. And the echoing chorus of Tony Blair, whose determination to bind Britain ever closer to US foreign policy ratchets up the threat to our own cities, will only fuel anti-western sentiment. So will calls for the defence of "civilisation", with its overtones of Samuel Huntington's poisonous theories of post-cold war confrontation between the west and Islam, heightening perceptions of racism and hypocrisy.

As Mahatma Gandhi famously remarked when asked his opinion of western civilisation, it would be a good idea. Since George Bush's father inaugurated his new world order a decade ago, the US, supported by its British ally, bestrides the world like a colossus. Unconstrained by any superpower rival or system of global governance, the US giant has rewritten the global financial and trading system in its own interest; ripped up a string of treaties it finds inconvenient; sent troops to every corner of the globe; bombed Afghanistan, Sudan, Yugoslavia and Iraq without troubling the United Nations; maintained a string of murderous embargos against recalcitrant regimes; and recklessly thrown its weight behind Israel's 34-year illegal military occupation of the West Bank and Gaza as the Palestinian intifada rages.

If, as yesterday's Wall Street Journal insisted, the east coast carnage was the fruit of the Clinton administration's Munich-like appeasement of the Pal-

estinians, the mind boggles as to what US Republicans imagine to be a Churchillian response.

It is this record of unabashed national egotism and arrogance that drives anti-Americanism among swathes of the world's population, for whom there is little democracy in the current distribution of global wealth and power. If it turns out that Tuesday's attacks were the work of Osama bin Laden's supporters, the sense that the Americans are once again reaping a dragons' teeth harvest they themselves sowed will be overwhelming.

It was the Americans, after all, who poured resources into the 1980s war against the Soviet-backed regime in Kabul, at a time when girls could go to school and women to work. Bin Laden and his mujahedin were armed and trained by the CIA and MI6, as Afghanistan was turned into a wasteland and its communist leader Najibullah left hanging from a Kabul lamp post with his genitals stuffed in his mouth.

But by then Bin Laden had turned against his American sponsors, while US-sponsored Pakistani intelligence had spawned the grotesque Taliban now protecting him. To punish its wayward Afghan offspring, the US subsequently forced through a sanctions regime which has helped push 4m to the brink of starvation, according to the latest UN figures, while Afghan refugees fan out across the world.

All this must doubtless seem remote to Americans desperately searching the debris of what is expected to be the largest-ever massacre on US soil - as must the killings of yet more Palestinians in the West Bank yesterday, or even the 2m estimated to have died in Congo's wars since the overthrow of the US-backed Mobutu regime. "What

could some political thing have to do with blowing up office buildings during working hours?" one bewildered New Yorker asked yesterday.

Already, the Bush administration is assembling an international coalition for an Israeli-style war against terrorism, as if such counter-productive acts of outrage had an existence separate from the social conditions out of which they arise. But for every "terror network" that is rooted out, another will emerge - until the injustices and inequalities that produce them are addressed.

--

ACLU, conservative groups oppose anti-terrorist bill

SEATTLE POST-
INTELLIGENCER

http://seattlep-i.nwsource.com/national/39535_liberties20.shtml

Thursday, September 20, 2001

By JULIA MALONE

COX NEWS SERVICE

WASHINGTON -- The Bush administration yesterday sent Congress draft legislation to expand federal authority to conduct surveillance of suspected terrorists, as some groups worried that the government might trample on American freedoms.

In an unusual coalition, the American Civil Liberties Union has allied with groups on the right, including the American Conservative Union, in an attempt to slow the momentum of the anti-terrorist bill.

"I don't want to come across as a person who's not concerned about national security" in the aftermath of the attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, said the ACLU's Washington director, Laura Murphy.

But she added, "The history of these kinds of bills is, once they are passed, it takes a very long time to remove them from the statutes."

Amid concern that the lawmakers were moving with too much haste, House Judiciary Chairman James Sensenbrenner, R-Wis., said his panel would hold full hearings soon before the House votes on the laws to crack down on terrorism.

That could slow action on the bill, which President Bush had sought by week's end. The president will be able to make a direct plea for the new authority during his address to Congress tonight.

The legislation would expand federal authority to tap telephone conversations, seize voice-mail messages, read e-mail and see education records of suspected terrorists. It would also allow deportation of immigrants who are suspected terrorists, without presenting evidence in a court.

The administration has already revised federal rules to allow the detention of immigrants for up to 48 hours before charges are filed. And in times of emergency, non-citizens could be held indefinitely.

Attorney General John Ashcroft, who toured the blackened Pentagon crash site yesterday, responded to the ACLU criticism by promising to "to investigate and to prosecute with strict regard for every safeguard of the United States Constitution."

However, he added, "We will not fail to use any tool that can promote the apprehension (and) the disruption of the networks that caused these damages, and prevent a similar occurrence in the future."

Even so, Rep. Bob Barr, R-Ga., has sent a letter to Ashcroft protesting

efforts to expand law enforcement powers.

"Broad investigative and surveillance authority invites abuse," he wrote.

Barr said the failure to stop the terrorist attacks on Sept. 11 "did not occur due to lack of authority, but the lapse of intelligence" as well as lax enforcement of laws already in place.

Rep. Lamar Smith, R-Texas, a member of the House Judiciary Committee, said he was confident that the final bill would "strike a balance" between security and civil liberties.

Smith dismissed criticism of efforts to stiffen immigration laws. "Frankly, non-citizens are not always entitled to the same rights as citizens," he said.

Pro-immigrant groups argued that immigrants should retain due process rights, especially when they are detained.

"The open-ended period could turn into an indefinite detention, and we should guard against that," said Jeanne Butterfield, spokeswoman for the American Immigration Lawyers Association. "These are extraordinary times, but we can't throw out those protections that are essential to our democracy."

#A long issue?, see the coming Anvil #5 for more on the 9-11 topic.

#Bridging communities

Won't be it quite a non-sense for us to copy/paste the mainstream media news? We all need to spread OUR NEWS! And for that to become reality The ANVIL calls for every community that wants to contribute to our news board to email us at least one piece of fresh news per week. This epaper is YOURS, fill it with your POINT!

email: fconthenet@netscape.net

**THE ANVIL IS PUBLISHED UNDER
THE PATRONAGE OF
ST. GEORGE.
webart@builder.at**

THE ANVIL is the only daily National Revolutionary publication of its kind. We need YOUR support to continue. It is published in memory of Rosine de Bouneville of Hants., England, Paul Casey of Cardiff, Wales, and Wallace Wears of Tyneside, England. Any publicity that our supporters and readers can give to THE ANVIL, in print or on the net - is much appreciated.

DON'T FORGET: Subscribe to *Final Conflict* magazine now and get a free *FC* badge.

FC's snail mail address is:

**Final Conflict, BCM Box 6358,
London, WC1N 3XX, England.
Send 2 pounds/5 dollars for info
pack.**

Donations to help our work may be sent to this address.

Cheques to 'Final Conflict' in British Pounds only. Other currencies may be sent by registered delivery [notes only]. American readers can send donations to:

**ACTP, 8175A Sheridan Blvd
#358, Arvada, CO 80003.**

**Checks payable to 'ACTP'.
State that they are for FC.**

*For our full merchandise list see our website or send an e-mail to:
finalconflict@dial.pipex.com for our e-mail version.*

*Final Conflict quarterly magazine: ISSN
1463-614x*

NOTE: In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. section 107, this material is distributed without profit or payment to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving this information for non-profit research and educational purposes only. All reprinted materials in the FC e-paper, are the opinions of the authors and not necessarily Final Conflict editors and staff...



FREE COPY AND DISTRIBUTION